Original Research Article

Genotypic evaluation of cowpea germplasm for salinity tolerance at germination and during seedling growth

Eric Bertrand **Kouam**, Toscani **Ngompe-Deffo**, Honoré **Beyegue-Djonko**, Marie Solange **Mandou**, Asafor Henry **Chotangui**, Souleymanou **Adamou**, Christopher Mubeteneh **Tankou**

Genetics, Biotechnology, Agriculture and Plant Physiology Research Unit, Department of Crop Sciences, Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Sciences, University of Dschang, Cameroon, PO Box 222 Dschang, Cameroon

Correspondence to:

E. B. Kouam, Genetics, Biotechnology, Agriculture and Plant Physiology Research Unit, Department of Crop Sciences, Faculty of agronomy and Agricultural Sciences, University of Dschang, Cameroon, PO Box 222 Dschang, Cameroon, Tel: 237 674379512, E-mails: ericbkouam@yahoo.com / eric.kouam@univ-dschang.org

Abstract

Soil salinity represents a major constraint limiting crop production in arid and semi-arid countries. The effect of salinity induced by sodium chloride (NaCl) at five levels (0, 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM) was investigated on four germination traits and thirteen seedling growth characteristics in twenty cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.] genotypes (ET11, KEB-CP004, KEB-CP006, KEB-CP009, KEB-CP 010, KEB-CP020, KEB-CP033, KEB-CP038, KEB-CP039, KEB-CP045, KEB-CP051, KEB-CP054, KEB-CP057, KEB-CP060, KEB-CP067, KEB-CP068, KEB-CP118, MTA22, NO74 and NO1036). The germination tests were carried out on Petri dishes in the laboratory while seedling growth experiments continued in plastic pots in the greenhouse, both setting up using a randomised complete block design with three replications. Genotypic responses were significant for all germination traits (p < 0.001). Germination percentage, germination rate index, and coefficient of velocity of germination were all decreased by salt stress. However, the mean germination time increased with increasing saline conditions. Significant differences were found between genotypes for most growth attributes. Growth rate (centimeter increased in height per week) decreased significantly with increasing salinity, starting at 100 mM NaCl (24.20% reduction, 2.66 cm/week) with maximum reduction (38.58%) corresponding to 2.16 cm/week observed at 200 mM NaCl, compared to control (3.51 cm/week growth rate). Also, significant decline in shoot weights, number of functional leaves and dry matter production were observed under salinity. Salinity also reduced water content in shoot and root and did not affect root weights. Under salinity, significant correlations were found between all germination variables (p < 0.001). Growth rate was significantly associated with ten out of the twelve other seedling growth traits. Also, the dry matter production under salinity was significantly associated with all other seedling growth characteristics with the exception of root water content. Given the effect of salt stress, cowpea genotypes, namely NO1036, KEB-CP004, KEB-CP038 and KEB-CP051, were the most tolerant while KEB-CP068 and ET11 were the most sensitive ones. The results confirm substantial genetic variation in salt stress tolerance among the studied genotypes. The most tolerant genotypes should be further explored in genetic improvement programs and should be promoted for culture in regions affected by salinity.

Keywords: Cowpea genotype; genetic improvement; growth characteristics, salt tolerance, seed germination

© AUTHORS 2021.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture plays a pioneering role in economic development of many emerging countries in sub-Saharan Africa like Cameroon. Cowpea [Vigna *unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] is an important crop in tropical and subtropical regions worldwide. The maximum distribution area of the crop is centered in tropical regions and includes sub-Saharan Africa, Asia, Central and South America (Fatokun et al., 2018). The importance of this crop relies on its high protein content (20-25%) and, therefore, is referred to as the "meat of the poor man" according to Hamid et al. (2016). Cowpea is also known to feed people, their livestock and is a source of cash income (Kebede and Bekeko, 2020). In Cameroon, the far north region is the largest contributor to the national production of cowpea, producing 300 to 500 kg/ha in farmer field and 1200-2000 kg/ha in research stations (Dugie et al., 2009). The western region also produces significant quantities. The national production is estimated to about 110,000 tons from a cultivated area of 105,000 ha according to Bidima (2012). It is well recognised that the best way to significantly address the present food security issue in Africa is the exploitation of local plant genetic resources. There is need to feed the fast-growing population in tropical and sub-tropical regions and, for that, genomic preservation and full exploitation of the diverse range of crop resources is required, in order to suit all the various land and soil characteristics offered. Using plants resources available in the diverse ecosystems existing are therefore dominant considerations in crop production today.

Soil salinity is an ever-increasing problem limiting significantly crop production in arid and semi-arid regions according to Shanon (1986). These regions from estimations represent around 40% of the earth areas (Fisher and Turner, 1978). Rengasamy (2010) estimated 800 million hectares of cultivated land worldwide that are affected by salinity, stress which day after day, is gaining more importance worldwide because of climate changes. Soil salinity is defined as the accumulation of salt in soils (Shrivastava and Kumar, 2015). Several different salts are responsible for salinity. Generally, sodium, calcium and magnesium combined with chloride, sulfate and carbonate to form salt (National Research Council, 1993). The most common salt causing salinity is sodium chloride (NaCl) (Tavakkoli et al., 2010). High salinity is due to the high concentration of soluble salts in soils, inefficient soil drainage or a high rate of evaporation caused by the high temperatures (Ruprecht and Dogramaci, 2005). In plants, a high level of salinity was reported to be responsible for inhibition and the delay of seed germination, seedling development and growth (Almansouri et al. 2001).

Several studies on annual crops which screen individual genotypes for salinity tolerance and using distinctive approaches have been carried out. These studies include some of the following crops: cowpea (Kouam et al., 2017a), common bean (Kouam et al., 2017b; Torche et al., 2018), barley (Askari et al., 2016), wheat (Hamam and Negim, 2014), rice (Hakim et al., 2010). Breeding salt tolerant cultivar is difficult without utilizing the diversity of genetic resources available. In light of this knowledge, our research objectives were to study the effect of salt stress, using different concentrations of sodium chloride, on the germination and seedling growth of twenty cultivated Vigna unguiculata genotypes and determine the extent of its tolerance to salinity with the identification of salt tolerant genotypes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material and experimental site

Plant material consisted of seeds of a total of 20 cowpea genotypes consisting of cultivars from Cameroon Agricultural Research Institute (IRAD) and landraces collected from farmers' farms and local markets. Codes and origin of the studied cowpea genotypes are presented in Table 1. The experiment was set up out in the laboratory and at the experimental genetics greenhouse of the research and teaching farm of the Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Sciences of the University of Dschang, located in the west region of Cameroon, latitude of 5°20' North, longitude of 10°05' East and altitude of 1407 m above sea level.

Salt stress treatments and experimental design

The different sodium chloride solutions to be used for the experiments were prepared at concentrations of 0.0 (Control), 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM by dissolving adequate amount of solid NaCl in appropriate quantity of distilled water. These prepared solutions were used during seed germination test in the lab and seedling growth trial in the greenhouse. The present study was carried out as a factorial experiment with two factors (salinity stress and cowpea genotype). The first factor was salinity stress at five different levels and the second factor was the cowpea genotypes.

The germination experiment was carried out in a 120 mm diameter sterilised Petri dishes in the laboratory. Three hundred Petri dishes were used for the laboratory experiment. The Petri dishes were arranged in a completely randomised block design

No	Genotype	Туре	Location/District	Division	Region, Country
1	ET11	Local landrace	Market	Harar	Eastern, Ethiopia
2	KEB-CP045	Local landrace	Balembo	Haut-Nkam	Western, Cameroon
3	KEB-CP057	Local landrace	Bangou	Haut-Plateaux	Western, Cameroon
4	KEB-CP060	Local landrace	Bangou	Haut-Plateaux	Western, Cameroon
5	KEB-CP009	Local landrace	Bandjoun	Koung-Khi	Western, Cameroon
6	KEB-CP010	Local landrace	Bandjoun	Koung-Khi	Western, Cameroon
7	KEB-CP051	Local landrace	Bandjoun	Koung-Khi	Western, Cameroon
8	KEB-CP054	Local landrace	Bandjoun	Koung-Khi	Western, Cameroon
9	NO1036	Local landrace	Logone-Birni	Logone-et-Chari	Far North, Cameroon
10	NO74	Local landrace	Gobo	Mayo-Danay	Far North, Cameroon
11	KEB-CP118	Local landrace	Bafia Market	Mbam-et-Inougou	Central, Cameroon
12	KEB-CP039	Local landrace	Fondenera	Menoua	Western, Cameroon
13	KEB-CP004	Local landrace	Bafoussam	Mifi	Western, Cameroon
14	KEB-CP020	Local landrace	Bafoussam	Mifi	Western, Cameroon
15	KEB-CP006	Local landrace	Bafoussam	Mifi	Western, Cameroon
16	KEB-CP067	Local landrace	Bangangte	Ndé	Western, Cameroon
17	KEB-CP068	Local landrace	Bazou	Ndé	Western, Cameroon
18	KEB-CP038	Local landrace	Foumbot	Noun	Western, Cameroon
19	KEB-CP033	Local landrace	Kouoptamo	Noun	Western, Cameroon
20	MTA-22	Breeding line	IRAD-Foumbot	Noun	Western, Cameroon

Table 1. Codes and origin of the studied cowpea genotypes

with three replications. A total of of thirty seeds was placed in each Petri dish on double-layer Whatman paper. Then, 10 cm3 of appropriate solution was added to each Petri dish. Seeds were imbibed in the different solutions for 24 hours at room temperature. Seeds were then drained, rinsed twice with distilled water, and were allowed to continue germination on a new moist double layer Whatman paper. The seed counting process started 24 hours after seeds were moistened for the first time and the process was repeated every day at the same hour. Every day, the germinated seeds were counted, recorded and removed from the Petri dishes. Seed germination was validated when a 5 mm radicle had emerged from the seed coat as was validated in wheat by Sayar et al. (2010). The experiment was concluded after 21 days.

In the greenhouse, the soil used for the experiment was collected from the ploughed field close to the university site. The soils' characteristics are presented in Table 2. Plastic pots of 210 mm × 300 mm dimensions each were filled with 7 kg of soil. These pots had no drainage hole at the bottom. In each plastic pot filled with soil, eight seeds of each genotype were planted. Thinning followed two weeks later, leaving only four plants in each pot. We used a randomised complete block design with three replications for the experiment. Salinity treatments were applied as NaCl solutions at the same five molarities as for the germination trial. Pots were irrigated with 200 cm³ appropriate saline solution every three days from two weeks after planting up to six weeks. Growth parameters were then measured.

Table 2. Chemical and physical characteristics of the soil used (0–20 cm depth) $\,$

Element	Content
Clay (%)	9.00
Silt (%)	10.00
Sand (%)	81.00
Exchangeable potassium (mg kg $^{-1}$)	237.90
Exchangeable sodium (mg kg^{-1})	200.10
Exchangeable calcium (mg kg $^{-1}$)	416.00
Exchangeable magnesium (mg kg^{-1})	136.08
Assimilable phosphorus (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.89
Nitrogen (%)	0.10
Organic carbon (%)	4.20
Organic matter (%)	7.23
C/N ratio	42.00
pH-water	6.80
pHKCl	5.30
ΔрН	-1.50
Electric Conductivity (µs/cm)	60.00



Figure 1. Effect of salinity (NaCl) on germination percentage (A) and growth rate (B) of cowpea genotypes. For each variable, values followed by a same letter indicate no significant difference (Tukey multiple range test at p = 0.050 probability level).



Figure 2. Effect of salinity (NaCl) on dry matter production (A) and dry matter production losst o control (B) of cowpea genotypes. For each variable, values followed by a same letter indicate no significant difference (Tukey multiple range test at p = 0.050 probability level).



Figure 3. Effect of salinity (NaCl) on the shoot (A) and root (B) water content of cowpea genotypes. For each variable, values followed by a same letter indicate no significant difference (Tukey multiple range test at p = 0.050 probability level).

Measured traits and classification of genotypes

Four germination traits were measured and included: (1) Germination percentage (GP = $100 \times n/N$), obtained by dividing the number of germinated seeds in each Petri dish (n) by the total number of seeds tested (N), multiplied by 100 (Cokkizgin and Cokkizgin, 2010). (2) The mean germination time (MGT), calculated to assess the rate of germination (Hu et al. 2005) as follows: MGT = $\Sigma(n_i \times d_i) / \Sigma n_i$. where n_i = number of the newly germinated seeds and d_i equals day number. (3) Germination Rate Index (GRI), calculated as described by the Association of Official Seed Analysts (AOSA, 1983): GRI = Σ Gt / Dt. where Gt is the number of seeds germinated in t days; Dt is the number of corresponding germination days; (4) Coefficient of velocity of germination (CVG) evaluated according to Maguire (1962) as follows: CVG = (G₁ + G₂ + + G_n) / (1xG₁ + 2xG₂ + + nxG_n) where G is the number of germinated seeds and n is the last day of germination.

Thirteen seedling growth characteristics were recorded. Seedling length was measured weekly on two plants in each replication using metric ruler.

Ъс	ິ ເ Cenoty		1	[]]]	I			540	ado-9	KEB	Ŧ		250	-CF	KEB	E		090	aD-9	КЕВ	[(500a	-CF	KEB	ł	C	010-	-Cb	ЕВ
	[NaCl] incentratio	0 mM	50 mM	100 mM	150 mM	200 mM	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	50 mM	$100 \mathrm{mM}$	150 mM	200 mM	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	$50 \mathrm{mM}$	100 mM	150 mM	200 mM	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	50 mM	100 mM	150 mM	200 mM	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	50 mM	100 mM	150 mM	200 mM	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	50 mM	100 mM	150 mM
	RFW (mg/ n plant)	160.00	153.33	176.67	243.33	170.00	300.00	473.33	416.67	460.00	370.00	306.67	326.67	433.33	266.67	196.67	223.33	210.00	196.67	310.00	190.00	190.00	216.67	326.67	150.00	146.67	343.33	500.00	330.00	286.67
	RDW (mg/ plant)	24.67	29.33	33.67	19.33	42.33	51.00	111.00	85.00	102.67	80.00	31.67	56.67	55.33	80.67	46.33	49.00	43.67	37.00	67.00	42.67	34.67	53.33	70.33	45.67	40.00	64.33	62.33	56.67	74.33
	SFW (mg/ plant)	843.33	730.00	676.67	2056.67	626.67	2213.33	3366.67	1963.33	2330.00	2353.33	2763.33	2533.33	1463.33	816.67	1210.00	1450.00	863.33	713.33	1326.67	253.33	1450.00	1713.33	1503.33	513.33	660.00	2016.67	1263.33	1003.33	1516.67
	SDW (mg/ plant)	77.33	242.00	82.00	51.67	131.67	283.00	462.33	345.67	335.00	313.67	210.00	285.33	197.67	104.33	169.33	236.00	124.67	147.33	200.33	95.00	193.00	240.00	259.67	151.33	145.00	260.00	191.00	148.00	00126
	RL (cm)	11.40	11.33	13.50	5.13	8.60	7.70	11.97	10.47	13.07	8.37	10.00	9.63	9.33	10.50	9.97	10.53	9.50	8.93	10.33	7.33	8.33	8.27	9.87	10.83	7.83	10.63	10.70	7.33	0 67
	SL (cm)	15.00	18.00	16.00	20.00	15.67	23.00	42.67	30.67	27.67	24.00	23.33	40.33	24.00	19.33	21.00	24.67	22.33	17.33	25.00	16.33	24.67	33.67	21.00	18.00	19.67	18.67	16.67	15.33	1833
	NFL	3.00	1.67	2.00	0.33	1.33	3.00	3.00	2.67	2.67	1.33	3.67	4.00	1.67	1.67	2.33	3.67	2.33	0.67	3.33	1.00	5.00	4.00	2.00	1.67	0.67	3.67	2.33	1.33	
	RL/SL	0.76	0.71	0.91	0.40	0.58	0.36	0.32	0.33	0.52	0.34	0.48	0.32	0.57	0.56	0.46	0.45	0.46	0.50	0.40	0.46	0.35	0.27	0.47	0.62	0.39	0.56	0.64	0.49	20
	RDW/ SDW	0.50	0.28	0.52	0.38	0.32	0.17	0.24	0.24	0.32	0.26	0.16	1.49	0.39	1.17	0.27	0.21	0.38	0.31	0.32	0.45	0.19	0.23	0.30	0.33	0.33	0.24	0.35	0.40	000
Gr	Growth (cm/week)	1.08	1.75	1.25	1.75	0.83	3.00	6.25	3.50	2.83	2.17	2.83	3.17	2.83	1.83	1.67	2.25	1.83	1.08	3.25	0.58	2.33	3.00	2.00	0.67	1.50	1.42	1.08	1.00	000
owth varial	RWC (%)	84.62	81.36	80.75	87.31	75.68	83.23	75.64	79.73	77.46	78.77	88.14	82.38	83.61	67.52	74.18	77.04	79.17	81.16	78.89	76.18	81.17	75.01	78.96	68.76	69.82	82.49	81.22	83.05	13 61
bles	SWC (%)	91.22	70.56	87.73	96.44	64.89	85.87	86.20	72.30	85.97	86.72	89.68	91.00	74.40	70.03	75.63	81.98	85.35	76.28	85.03	50.33	86.25	85.60	69.36	48.28	58.81	86.79	84.58	81.69	
Germ	MGT (days)	6.4415	5.2520	12.0185	8.4222	5.5000	3.8201	5.3219	3.9307	4.7500	4.9111	2.1905	2.5277	4.2472	11.0111	10.9444	2.4333	3.0889	5.9400	9.0595	9.5905	2.2232	3.1689	4.1090	10.1905	11.6667	2.7857	4.0609	3.4722	
ination va	CVG	0.1631	0.1974	0.0832	0.1202	0.1944	0.2631	0.1883	0.2628	0.2109	0.2255	0.4586	0.3989	0.2443	0.0908	0.0918	0.4199	0.3308	0.1686	0.1120	0.1091	0.4515	0.3174	0.2541	0.0989	0.0859	0.3752	0.2511	0.3072	
iables	GRI	7.7528	4.3351	0.8232	0.6793	0.3810	9.0718	5.9298	2.2316	1.6816	1.0650	13.5833	11.7847	5.8930	0.4577	0.2201	13.3333	12.1906	4.8148	0.9158	0.5123	12.4833	11.2750	7.2150	0.6045	0.1439	10.6144	6.4232	4.0005	

əd											Gro	wth variab	oles	Germi	ination var	iables
Genoty	[NaCl] concentrati	RFW (mg/ on plant)	RDW (mg/ plant)	SFW (mg/ plant)	SDW (mg/ plant)	RL (cm)	SL (cm)	NFL	RL/SL	RDW/ SDW	Growth (cm/week)	RWC (%)	SWC (%)	MGT (days)	CVG	GRI
1	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	636.67	107.67	5760.00	495.00	11.97	49.67	5.00	0.25	0.29	8.08	81.92	91.38	4.2264	0.2410	4.4206
[\$0a	$50\mathrm{mM}$	493.33	86.33	3146.67	394.67	11.63	45.33	4.00	0.29	0.21	7.67	82.29	87.10	5.4504	0.1841	2.5696
ID-8	$100 \mathrm{mM}$	353.33	74.67	2153.33	302.33	9.37	29.67	2.67	0.40	0.24	3.67	79.40	86.03	5.3316	0.1898	2.1368
KEE	$150\mathrm{mM}$	446.67	115.67	1766.67	413.33	13.67	55.33	3.33	0.26	0.56	9.92	65.37	73.38	8.6508	0.1227	0.8693
[$200 \mathrm{mM}$	503.33	109.33	2753.33	384.33	13.53	31.00	3.00	0.52	0.29	3.67	77.26	85.99	8.0000	0.1270	0.2193
t	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	486.67	91.67	4023.33	473.00	00.6	17.33	3.33	0.53	0.20	1.67	81.18	88.18	3.8778	0.2634	9.6808
-02 [,]	50 mM	610.00	110.33	3776.67	456.67	12.67	18.33	3.33	0.69	0.25	1.33	81.73	88.08	4.2944	0.2368	9.3843
-Cb	100 mM	546.67	110.33	4363.33	537.67	13.53	22.00	3.33	0.61	0.22	2.08	79.80	87.45	5.0383	0.2011	3.7345
(EB	150 mM	306.67	74.33	2253.33	297.00	12.10	21.33	1.67	0.63	0.28	2.42	67.20	86.81	9.2722	0.1096	1.3293
ł	$200 \mathrm{mM}$	503.33	112.33	3100.00	399.67	12.57	16.33	2.67	0.79	0.28	1.33	77.51	87.02	7.5397	0.1396	0.9938
	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	696.67	113.33	3640.00	494.67	13.57	49.67	3.33	0.27	0.23	8.33	83.50	86.39	4.8454	0.2071	6.7406
98	$50 \mathrm{mM}$	643.33	127.00	2746.67	391.67	16.00	43.33	3.00	0.71	0.34	7.17	80.23	85.85	7.4502	0.1344	3.7979
010	100 mM	660.00	125.33	3473.33	454.33	16.57	45.00	2.00	0.37	0.27	7.25	81.30	86.11	8.2499	0.1213	2.4812
N	$150 \mathrm{mM}$	636.67	112.67	3186.67	416.67	16.20	46.67	2.67	0.39	0.32	7.50	82.42	87.21	8.7857	0.1145	1.6539
	$200 \mathrm{mM}$	500.00	119.33	3433.33	452.33	13.00	56.67	3.00	0.23	0.27	10.33	76.01	86.65	8.7423	0.1156	1.4640
	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	220.00	47.33	1616.67	211.67	7.57	14.00	2.67	0.67	0.45	2.33	78.70	80.26	2.5652	0.3904	12.5310
ħ	$50\mathrm{mM}$	220.00	50.00	1343.33	173.67	11.17	15.67	3.67	0.71	0.28	1.17	77.60	86.96	3.5653	0.2983	9.5886
201	$100 \mathrm{mM}$	230.00	52.00	1256.67	153.33	8.60	12.00	2.00	0.72	0.38	0.58	76.24	87.67	9.0536	0.1108	1.5796
N	150 mM	193.33	50.67	496.67	76.67	13.07	13.33	0.67	0.95	0.65	0.92	73.85	79.20	10.0000	0.1000	0.2680
	$200 \mathrm{mM}$	220.00	55.33	1413.33	194.67	9.50	15.00	1.00	0.64	0.28	1.33	74.69	86.15	10.1667	0.0984	0.1939
	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	370.00	70.33	2200.00	306.33	11.93	28.67	3.33	0.41	0.23	3.00	81.05	85.94	3.1444	0.3195	10.8278
8116	$50\mathrm{mM}$	460.00	87.33	2556.67	348.00	7.50	28.00	2.67	0.27	0.27	2.42	80.26	85.57	4.3094	0.2643	9.6846
ID-8	$100 \mathrm{mM}$	390.00	84.67	2633.33	386.67	13.57	30.67	2.67	0.47	0.23	2.75	78.19	85.38	9.9921	0.1001	1.1944
KEB	150 mM	266.67	76.67	1273.33	194.67	16.43	23.67	3.00	0.72	09.0	1.67	66.91	84.42	10.0370	0.0998	0.8601
[$200 \mathrm{mM}$	390.00	92.67	1596.67	235.67	10.03	20.00	2.00	0.51	0.40	1.17	75.53	85.04	7.3333	0.1815	0.2148
($0 \mathrm{mM}$	533.33	58.00	2090.00	147.67	9.50	23.67	3.67	0.40	0.99	2.00	85.57	93.13	3.3252	0.3036	8.1873
680a	$50\mathrm{mM}$	306.67	56.33	1823.33	264.67	9.30	26.67	2.33	0.37	0.21	2.75	82.88	82.41	4.8272	0.2181	6.6657
ID-8	$100 \mathrm{mM}$	280.00	66.33	1800.00	281.33	11.23	19.67	2.67	0.60	0.24	1.42	76.26	84.25	5.6828	0.1811	5.0511
KEF	$150\mathrm{mM}$	213.33	57.00	813.33	134.67	8.33	16.67	0.67	0.49	0.47	0.83	72.94	75.18	6.5707	0.1593	3.1384
Ĺ	200 mM	263.33	108.33	903.33	145.67	7.57	18.67	1.33	0.45	1.00	0.75	53.73	75.88	6.8355	0.1516	1.5733

VOL. 54 (2021)

эċ											Gro	wth variab	les	Germi	nation var	ables
Genoty	[NaCl] concentratic	RFW (mg/ in plant)	RDW (mg/ plant)	SFW (mg/ plant)	SDW (mg/ plant)	RL (cm)	SL (cm)	NFL	RL/SL	RDW/ SDW	Growth (cm/week)	RWC (%)	SWC (%)	MGT (days)	CVG	GRI
1	0 mM	580.00	99.67	2553.33	343.00	10.33	30.33	3.00	0.39	0.32	3.58	82.97	86.64	4.3031	0.2324	7.6325
600d	50 mM	496.67	114.33	2520.00	359.67	14.37	30.67	2.67	0.47	0.32	3.25	77.14	84.40	5.0874	0.1975	6.2737
ID-9	100 mM	570.00	81.67	2300.00	337.67	15.13	31.00	2.00	0.52	0.28	3.08	83.70	85.18	8.2389	0.1225	1.3863
KEB	150 mM	596.67	135.00	2090.00	318.33	12.27	39.33	2.00	0.35	0.50	5.33	77.55	84.82	8.6995	0.1168	1.0212
I	200 mM	466.67	100.33	1803.33	208.67	10.40	24.33	0.33	0.43	0.50	1.67	77.79	86.86	8.5500	0.1185	0.8693
($0 \mathrm{mM}$	620.00	107.67	3820.00	495.00	11.87	38.33	3.67	0.33	0.21	4.50	83.30	86.83	2.5033	0.4023	10.8175
070	50 mM	570.00	122.00	2890.00	447.00	12.30	34.33	3.00	0.38	0.28	3.92	77.49	84.62	3.2754	0.3077	8.9564
ID-6	100 mM	580.00	71.67	3253.33	485.00	13.70	72.00	2.67	0.33	0.16	4.42	87.01	85.10	4.0524	0.2679	2.8365
KEB	150 mM	313.33	65.67	2163.33	243.67	10.03	24.00	1.33	0.45	0.35	2.17	70.74	89.01	2.0000	0.5000	0.5000
I	200 mM	423.33	86.67	1916.67	335.00	8.83	25.33	2.67	0.39	0.26	3.08	79.56	78.36	2.0000	0.5000	0.6667
9	0 mM	406.67	61.00	4790.00	405.00	7.90	46.67	4.67	0.17	0.32	7.92	84.37	91.64	3.3554	0.3014	10.8021
9000	50 mM	586.67	123.33	5100.00	500.67	11.17	30.33	4.00	0.40	0.44	8.00	78.82	89.68	3.4938	0.2983	9.0053
-CF	100 mM	390.00	84.67	3866.67	531.00	09.60	32.33	3.67	0.29	0.17	3.83	77.89	85.63	4.7510	0.2183	3.1572
КЕВ	150 mM	480.00	110.67	4100.00	595.67	12.63	41.67	3.00	0.41	0.19	2.83	76.95	85.43	4.9048	0.2254	1.6239
[200 mM	473.33	113.00	2720.00	360.67	13.50	26.00	3.00	0.52	0.32	2.50	75.19	86.40	2.0000	0.5000	0.5000
4	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	406.67	80.33	4716.67	758.00	12.53	29.67	5.33	0.45	0.11	3.42	79.86	79.48	3.3092	0.3076	11.1563
190 ¢	50 mM	396.67	92.00	3773.33	545.00	13.37	39.67	4.67	0.43	0.17	1.43	76.81	85.40	4.0086	0.2510	9.5065
ID-9	100 mM	293.33	76.67	3166.67	454.33	06.6	25.33	4.00	0.39	0.17	2.33	74.15	85.54	7.3869	0.1386	1.3825
КЕВ	150 mM	436.67	86.67	2193.33	300.33	00.6	21.33	2.67	0.46	0.29	1.67	78.95	86.27	10.6333	0.0941	0.4091
[200 mM	460.00	117.00	3030.00	417.00	06.6	35.00	2.67	0.38	0.29	1.32	74.67	86.08	11.3333	0.0889	0.3402
2	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	160.00	17.33	1180.00	306.67	7.50	19.33	2.67	0.39	0.06	2.00	89.03	71.80	3.3894	0.3043	9.0683
890a	50 mM	104.00	23.33	376.67	71.33	8.83	11.00	2.00	0.76	0.34	0.42	77.72	69.23	4.8299	0.2122	4.1239
ID-8	100 mM	210.00	44.33	1010.00	178.33	10.70	15.00	2.00	0.77	0.27	1.25	78.71	70.60	6.6019	0.1557	1.2999
KEB	150 mM	250.00	79.33	676.67	151.67	7.23	14.00	0.67	0.59	1.40	0.83	68.08	75.24	6.7500	0.1528	0.9851
Ε	200 mM	186.67	19.33	853.33	72.00	6.43	10.33	1.00	0.66	0.33	0.42	79.52	79.16	7.0667	0.1430	0.7073
8	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	426.67	88.33	3293.33	433.00	10.80	39.00	4.00	0.28	0.21	4.75	79.41	86.82	4.3230	0.2320	8.5388
8609	50 mM	553.33	62.33	3606.67	285.67	10.43	56.00	3.33	0.27	0.21	5.92	88.95	91.56	7.8268	0.1286	3.2928
ID-8	100 mM	550.00	118.67	2626.67	346.00	11.03	37.00	2.00	0.34	0.34	4.67	78.41	86.90	8.8056	0.1167	1.3552
KEE	150 mM	553.33	109.67	2920.00	407.00	11.80	55.67	2.67	0.27	0.26	4.08	80.67	85.67	10.7429	0.0934	0.4934
1	200 mM	493.33	122.67	2433.33	334.33	12.27	30.00	2.33	0.43	0.39	2.33	74.76	86.36	11.6667	0.0859	0.1414

əd											Gro	wth variab	les	Germi	nation var	ables
Genoty	[NaCl] concentratic	RFW (mg/ m plant)	, RDW (mg/ plant)	SFW (mg/ plant)	SDW (mg/ plant)	RL (cm)	SL (cm)	NFL	RL/SL	RDW/ SDW	Growth (cm/week)	RWC (%)	SWC (%)	MGT (days)	CVG	GRI
1	0 mM	520.00	98.67	2903.33	476.67	12.63	29.00	3.67	0.48	0.21	3.67	81.24	82.87	4.0014	0.2500	6.3024
EE06	50 mM	543.33	109.33	2680.00	412.00	9.20	28.67	3.00	0.33	0.28	2.83	79.86	70.04	4.7965	0.2123	4.3191
ID-8	100 mM	476.67	90.67	1946.67	222.33	9.80	24.00	4.00	0.42	1.19	2.00	78.93	80.88	8.1439	0.1244	1.0866
KEB	150 mM	446.67	83.33	2876.67	444.67	8.87	24.33	2.00	0.39	0.19	1.83	81.16	84.54	8.3254	0.1220	0.8042
[200 mM	273.33	74.33	1663.33	253.67	10.00	20.00	2.00	0.62	0.31	3.25	72.52	84.91	8.2905	0.1220	0.5708
	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	446.67	79.67	2780.00	369.33	10.77	25.33	3.33	0.43	0.22	2.08	82.16	86.59	4.0939	0.2445	8.2702
77	50 mM	426.67	81.00	2980.00	288.67	12.17	21.67	3.33	0.56	0.29	1.75	78.58	89.19	4.5263	0.2209	5.6491
AT	100 mM	283.33	108.67	1426.67	163.67	11.37	23.00	2.00	0.50	0.74	2.25	66.43	87.86	7.8000	0.1309	2.4430
M	150 mM	536.67	107.00	2236.67	339.00	14.00	20.67	2.67	0.69	0.33	1.33	79.88	84.14	8.3106	0.1212	1.3916
	200 mM	410.00	25.67	2113.33	277.00	11.50	22.67	2.67	0.51	0.12	1.75	93.61	87.06	9.4259	0.1066	0.7456
S	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	401.66 a	68.82 a	2805.17 a	348.72 a	10.32 a	28.50 ab	3.68 a	0.42 a	0.27 a	3.51 a	82.85 a	85.99 a	3.56 d	0.31 a	9.59 a
ad (1	50 mM	414.53 a	80.07 a	2489.50 ab	324.23 a	11.08 a	30.17 a	3.12 b	0.47 a	0.34 a	3.35 a	79.76 b	84.17 ab	4.56 c	$0.24 \mathrm{b}$	7.24 b
oua	100 mM	384.67 a	76.82 a	2130.00 bc	300.72 ab	11.18 a	27.15 ab	2.40 c	0.50 a	0.35 a	2.66 b	79.19 b	82.32 bc	6.64 b	0.18 c	2.81 c
ng IL	$150 \mathrm{mM}$	369.67 a	82.70 a	1880.33 c	271.35 b	11.26 a	27.32 ab	2.03 d	0.51 a	0.46 a	$2.73 \mathrm{b}$	74.81 c	$81.51\mathrm{c}$	8.34 a	0.14 d	1.01 d
¥	200 mM	351.83 a	79.53 a	1837.33 c	259.90 b	10.11 a	23.25 b	1.92 d	0.49 a	0.35 a	$2.16 \mathrm{c}$	75.76 c	80.19 c	8.45 a	0.13 d	0.60 e
RFV SL = velo	V = Root fresh : Root length - city of germina	weight; RDW Seedling len ation. For eac	<pre>/ = Root di gth ratio;] th genotyp</pre>	ry weight; SFV RDW/SDW =	V = Shoot J Root dry w wed by a s	fresh weigh reight – Sh ame letter	nt; SDW = Sl oot dry weig in the same	ht ratio, M column ar	cight; RL = GT = Mcan e not signifi	Root lengtl germinati icantly diff	h; SL = Seed on time; GR erent (Tukey	ling length; I = Germin; 7 multiple r	NFL = Nun ation rate in ange test at j	ther of fundex; $CVG = p = 0.050$ p	ctional leav = Coefficier robability le	es; RL/ t of vvel)

After completing the growth experiment at six weeks, the measured variables included: (1) Root fresh weight, (2) Root dry weight, (3) Shoot fresh weight, (4) Shoot dry weight, (5) Root length, (6) Seedling length, (7) Number of functional leaves (green leaves, not senescent), (8) Root length / Seedling length ratio, (9) Root dry weight / Shoot dry weight ratio, (10) Growth rate, (11) Dry matter production, (12) Root water content, (13) Shoot water content. Dry weights were measured after drying plants at 70°C for 48 hours. Root water content (RWC) and shoot water content (SWC) were determined as follows: RWC = (Root fresh weight – Root dry weight) / Root fresh weight. SWC = (Shoot fresh weight – Shoot dry weight) / Shoot fresh weight.

Cowpea genotypes were classified for salinity tolerance. The classification was based on the deficit in the total dry weight of the plant (shoot + root) at each level of salinity compared to controls as suggested by Fageria (1985). Genotypes classified as Tolerant (T) had a total dry matter deficit of equal or less than 20%. Moderately tolerant (MT) genotypes had dry matter deficits between 21% and 40% and, in moderately susceptible (MS) genotypes, the deficit dry weight varied from 41% to 60%. In susceptible (S) genotypes, the deficit dry weight was larger than 60%.

Statistical analysis

Software packages named XLSTAT 2014 and GraphPadPism 6.0 were used to analyse the data obtained from germination and seedling growth variables of cowpea genotypes under salinity. Data were analysed using two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with three sets of assumptions that are: (1) mean values of each variable among salinity treatments are equal, (2) mean values of each variable among the different genotypes are equal, and (3) there is no interaction between salinity treatment and genotype for each tested variable. Differences were declared significant at p < 0.050 probability levels by the Fisher test. Where the ANOVA test showed significant differences among means, Tukey's multiple range test of XLSTAT 2014 software was performed at the 0.050 level of probability to separate means. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to assess the relationship between the different variables under salinity.

RESULTS

Germination traits

This study revealed a significant effect of salinity on germination traits of cultivated *Vigna unguiculata* genotypes (Figure 1A, Table 3 and 4). In overall, salt stress negatively affected germination of cowpea genotypes. The effect of salinity varied significantly between the different genotypes tested. Under control conditions, germination percentage (GP) ranged from 58.67 [KEB-CP051] to 100% [KEB-CP054, KEB-CP060 and KEB-CP118] with the mean at 89.82% and from 3.33 [KEB-CP006] to 34.44% [NO1036] with a mean at 12.73% under maximum salt stress conditions (200 mM NaCl). At 50, 100, and 150 mM salinity stress conditions, the mean GP ranges from 40 [KEB-CP068] to 100% [KEB-CP118], 23.33 [KEB-CP045] to 73.33% [KEB-CP009] and 4 [KEB-CP020] to 50% [KEB-CP039] with a mean over genotype of 77.55, 42.67 and 22.06%, respectively (Figure 1A, Table 5). The general tendency is that as the NaCl concentration augmented, cowpea genotypes showed reducing GP (Figure 1A). Like that of germination percentage, the germination rate index (GRI) and the coefficient of velocity of germination (CVG) had the same change movement with values decreasing as the level of salinity increasing (Table 3). The highest GRI and CVG were observed in the controls, 9.521 ± 0.341 and 0.307 ± 0.012 , respectively, and the lowest values observed at maximum salt stress treatment (200 mM NaCl) and were 0.598 ± 0.066 for GRI and 0.129 ± 0.017 for CVG (Table 3). Salinity induced by NaCl significantly affected also the mean germination time (MGT) (Table 3). In contrary of GP, GRI and CVG that decreased with increasing salinity level, the MGT increased with increasing salt stress concentration. Over genotype, significantly longer MGT (8.448 ± 0.401) was obtained with treatment at 200 mM NaCl as compared with controls (MGT = 3.558 ± 0.144) (Table 3). A two-way Analysis of Variance showed a significant individual effect of salinity, genotype and their interaction in affecting all the studied germination traits in cultivated Vigna unguiculata (Table 4).

Early growth characteristics

Over genotype, growth rate that was expressed in terms of seedling height gained per week in centimetres (cm/week) decreased significantly with increasing salinity (Figure 1B, Table 3). With increasing NaCl concentrations, seedling length decreased significantly. Seedling length was affected by salinity only at 200 mM NaCl. Statistical analysis did not show significant differences in root weights and length as exposed to different salinity level, revealing that they were not affected by salinity (Table 3, Table 4). Results presented in Table 3 showed that greater levels of salinity reduced the number of functional leaves during the experiment. In treated plants, significant reduction was observed even at the lowest salt concentration (50 mM NaCl) and the reduction continued at 100 and 150 mM NaCl and
 Table 4. Analyses of variance results (Fractions) and Fisher test on germination and growth variables for the plant genotypes, salinity level and their interaction

	Gen	otype (df =	= 19)	Sa	linity (df =	4)	Genotyp	e × Salinity	7 (df = 76)
	F	Р	Sign.	F	Р	Sign.	F	Р	Sign.
Germination variables									
GP (%)	10.817	0.000	***	584.455	0.000	***	3.384	0.000	***
MGT (day)	21.692	0.000	***	200.159	0.000	***	6.898	0.000	***
GRI	18.972	0.000	***	742.507	0.000	***	6.251	0.000	***
CVG (day-1)	26.323	0.000	***	116.697	0.000	***	6.382	0.000	***
Growth variables									
RFW (mg/plant)	13.983	0.000	***	2.013	0.094	NS	1.034	0.420	NS
RDW (mg/plant)	9.970	0.000	***	1.779	0.134	NS	1.061	0.366	NS
SFW (mg/plant)	13.889	0.000	***	10.006	0.000	***	0.970	0.551	NS
SDW (mg/plant)	11.498	0.000	***	4.373	0.002	**	0.952	0.589	NS
RL (cm)	3.206	0.000	***	1.508	0.201	NS	0.939	0.618	NS
SL (cm)	9.206	0.000	***	2.393	0.048	*	0.935	0.625	NS
NFL (No)	4.622	0.000	***	28.223	0.000	***	1.047	0.394	NS
RL/SL (ratio)	4.603	0.000	***	1.592	0.178	NS	0.815	0.848	NS
RDW/SDW (ratio)	1.345	0.159	NS	1.649	0.163	NS	1.040	0.408	NS
Growth rate (cm/week)	12.126	0.000	***	4.107	0.003	**	0.864	0.766	NS
DMP (mg/plant)	13.225	0.000	***	2.830	0.026	*	0.931	0.634	NS
RWC (%)	0.732	0.784	NS	7.335	0.000	***	0.955	0.584	NS
SWC (%)	2.979	0.000	***	2.587	0.038	*	1.208	0.152	NS

Level of significance: *** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.010; *p < 0.050; NS = Not significant

df = Degrees of freedom; GP = Germination percentage; GRI = Germination rate index; MGT = Mean germination time; CVG = Coefficient of velocity of germination; RFW = Root fresh weight; RDW = Root dry weight SFW = Shoot fresh weight; SDW = Shoot dry weight; RL = Root length; SL = Seedling length; NFL = Number of functional leaves; RL/SL = Root length / Seedling length; RDW / SDW = Root dry weight / Shoot dry weight; DMP = Dry matter production; RWC = Root water content; SWC = Shoot water content

did not change for 150 and 200 mM NaCl salinity stress. The general tendency reflects a gradual decrease in the number of plant functional leaves with the increase of salt concentration in the soil. Under salinity, significant differences were observed for shoot weights, dry matter production (Table 3, Figure 2A). Dry matter production loss compared to control increased with increasing salinity (Figure 2B). Over genotype, shoot had significantly more water content compared to root (Figure 3). Salinity significantly reduced water content in shoots and roots (Figure 3, Table 4). A two-way Analysis of Variance showed a significant effect of genotype and salinity for most growth attributes in cultivated cowpea. The genotype × salinity interaction did not affect significantly any of the studied growth attributes (Table 4). Generally, most of early growth traits were inhibited by salt stress with variables significantly higher in controls compared to treated plants (Table 3).

Classification of genotypes and correlation between traits

Among the twenty studied cowpea genotypes, different responses for salinity tolerance at any soil

salinity level were observed. At the lowest salinity concentration (50 mM NaCl), fifteen out of the twenty studied genotypes were tolerant (T) and the remaining five were moderately tolerant (MT). When increasing the salinity level to 100 mM NaCl, eleven genotypes among the fifteen tolerant at 50 mM NaCl continued to be tolerant, eight were moderately tolerant and one moderately susceptible (ET11). Moving to the salinity level of 150 mM NaCl, four genotypes continued to be tolerant (KEB-CP038, KEB-CP051, KEB-CP004 etNO1036), ten were moderately tolerant (MT), four were moderately susceptible and two were susceptible (KEB-CP068 and ET11). At the highest soil salinity level, one genotype continued to be tolerant (T) (NO1036), seven were moderately tolerant (KEB-CP118, KEB-CP038, KEB-CP 010, KEB-CP051, KEB-CP004, KEB-CP006 and MTA22), ten were moderately susceptible and two susceptible (KEB-CP068 and ET11). The general tendency is that as salinity increases, most genotypes will remain or shift from their previous ranking according to salinity tolerance to the next lower rank of salinity tolerance. Combining germination test and early growth trial, NO1036, KEB-CP004, **Table 5.** Mean Comparison of the effect of Genotype × Salinity interaction on germination and dry matter production in cowpeaat 6 weeks' growth and their classification according to salinity. DMP = Dry matter production; T = Tolerant; MT = Moderatelytolerant; MS = Moderately susceptible; S = Susceptible.

0 mM 96.67 ab 271.33 bcdef 50 mM 54.44 efghij 174.00 bcdef 35.87 cde MT 100 mM 25.56 opqrst 115.66 cdef 57.37 ab MS 150 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 102.00 def 62.41 ab S 200 mM 5.55 z 71.00 f 73.83 a S 0 mM 92.22 abcde 573.33 abcdef MT KEB-CP045 100 mM 23.33 qrstuwxy 437.67 abcdef 23.27 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uwxyz 393.66 abcdef 31.30 cde MT 200 mM 15.56 vwxyz 334.00 abcdef 41.71 bcd MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxyz 334.00 abcdef 41.71 bcd MS 200 mM 15.66 vwxyz 334.00 abcdef 41.71 bcd MS 200 mM 15.66 vwxyz 105.06 abcd 420.0 abcdef 20.02 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 215.67 bcdef 29.34 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 15.67 bcdef 36.94 cde MT	Genotype	[NaCl] concentration	Germination percentage (%)	DMP mg/Plant	DMP loss to Control (%)	Salinity tolerance
FT1150 mM54.44 efghij174.00 bcdef35.87 cdeMT100 mM25.56 opqrst115.66 cdef57.37 abMS150 mM15.56 vwxxyz102.00 def62.41 abS200 mM5.55 z71.00 f73.83 aS0 mM92.22 abcde573.33 abcdefMTKEB-CP04550 mM76.67 abcdefgh439.67 abcdef23.27 cdeMT150 mM0.667 ubvxyz393.66 abcdef31.30 cdeMT200 mM15.56 vwxyz393.66 abcdef31.30 cdeMT200 mM15.56 vwxyz334.00 abcdef41.71 bcdMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz334.00 abcdef41.71 bcdMS200 mM7.78 abcdef241.67 bcdef29.34 cdeMT200 mM56.67 defghij253.00 bcdef26.02 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz215.67 bcdef36.94 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz15.67 bcdef36.94 cdeMT150 mM100.00 a285.00 bcdef45.71 bcdMS200 mM7.78 xxyz185.00 bcdef6.20 fT150 mM100.00 a267.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMT150 mM25.55 opgrstuw137.67 cdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS150 mM25.55 opgrstuw137.67 cdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM15.56 vwxxyz168.33 bcdef1		0 mM	96.67 ab	271.33 bcdef		
FT11100 mM25.56 opqrst115.66 cdef57.37 abMS150 mM15.56 vwxxyz102.00 def62.41 abS200 mM5.55 z71.00 f73.83 aS0 mM92.22 abcde573.33 abcdefS50 mM76.67 abcdefgh439.67 abcdef23.27 cdeMT100 mM23.33 qrstuvwxy437.67 abcdef23.62 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz393.66 abcdef31.30 cdeMT200 mM15.56 vwxyz334.00 abcdef41.71 bcdMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz334.00 abcdef29.34 cdeMT200 mM56.67 defghij253.00 bcdef26.02 cdeMT100 mM56.67 defghij253.00 bcdef36.94 cdeMT200 mM7.78 xxyz185.00 bcdef45.71 bcdMS150 mM100.00 a267.33 bcdef51.20 cdeMT200 mM7.22 abcdefgh184.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMT50 mM25.55 opqrstuvw137.67 cdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM75.55 opqrstuvw137.67 cdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM7.78 xxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM7.33 abcdef31.00 abcdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcd </th <td></td> <td>50 mM</td> <td>54.44 efghij</td> <td>174.00 bcdef</td> <td>35.87 cde</td> <td>MT</td>		50 mM	54.44 efghij	174.00 bcdef	35.87 cde	MT
150 mM15.56 vwxxyz102.00 def62.41 abS200 mM5.55 z71.00 f73.83 aS0 mM92.22 abcde573.33 abcdefMT50 mM76.67 abcdefgh439.67 abcdef23.27 cdeMT100 mM23.33 qrstuvwxy437.67 abcdef23.62 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz393.66 abcdef31.30 cdeMT200 mM15.56 vwxyz334.00 abcdef41.71 bcdMS200 mM95.56 abc342.00 abcdef29.34 cdeMT50 mM87.78 abcdef241.67 bcdef29.34 cdeMT100 mM56.67 defghij253.00 bcdef26.02 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz185.00 bcdef36.94 cdeMT200 mM7.78 xxyz185.00 bcdef45.71 bcdMS200 mM100.00 a267.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMT150 mM100.00 a267.33 bcdef51.69 abMS200 mM75.55 opgrstuvw137.67 cdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef11.11 cdfT150 mM25.55 opgrstuvw37.67 cdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM15.56 vwxyz168.33 bcdef11.11 cdfT	ET11	100 mM	25.56 opqrst	115.66 cdef	57.37 ab	MS
200 mM5.55 z71.00 f73.83 aS0 mM92.22 abcde573.33 abcdef50 mM76.67 abcdefgh439.67 abcdef23.27 cdeMT50 mM23.33 qrstuvwxy437.67 abcdef23.62 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uwxyz393.66 abcdef31.30 cdeMT200 mM15.56 vwxyz334.00 abcdef41.71 bcdMS0 mM95.56 abc342.00 abcdef29.34 cdeMT50 mM87.78 abcdef241.67 bcdef29.34 cdeMT100 mM56.67 defghij253.00 bcdef26.02 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uwxyz215.67 bcdef36.94 cdeMT200 mM7.78 xxyz185.00 bcdef45.71 bcdMS0 mM100.00 a285.00 bcdef51.12 cdeMT200 mM72.22 abcdefgh184.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMT150 mM25.55 opqrstuvw137.67 cdef51.69 abMS200 mM55.66 vwxxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM55.67 vmxxyz168.33 bcdef11.11 edfTKEB-CP09100 mM73.33 abcdefg27.67 bcdef31.01 cdeMT		150 mM	15.56 vwxxyz	102.00 def	62.41 ab	S
0 mM92.22 abcde573.33 abcdef50 mM76.67 abcdefgh439.67 abcdef23.27 cdeMT100 mM23.33 qrstuvwxy437.67 abcdef23.62 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz393.66 abcdef31.30 cdeMT200 mM15.56 vwxyz334.00 abcdef41.71 bcdMS0 mM95.56 abc342.00 abcdef29.34 cdeMT50 mM87.78 abcdef241.67 bcdef29.34 cdeMT100 mM56.67 defghij253.00 bcdef26.02 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz215.67 bcdef36.94 cdeMT200 mM7.78 xxyz185.00 bcdef45.71 bcdMS200 mM100.00 a285.00 bcdef50.12 cdeMT100 mM72.22 abcdefgh184.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMT150 mM100.00 a267.33 bcdef51.69 abMS200 mM72.22 abcdefgh184.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMT150 mM15.56 vwxxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM15.56 vwxxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM94.44 abcd293.33 bcdef11.11 edfTKEB-CP009100 mM73.33 abcdefg27.67 bcdef31.01 cdeMT		200 mM	5.55 z	71.00 f	73.83 a	S
50 mM76.67 abcdefgh439.67 abcdef23.27 cdeMT100 mM23.33 qrstuvwxy437.67 abcdef23.62 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz393.66 abcdef31.30 cdeMT200 mM15.56 vwxyz334.00 abcdef41.71 bcdMS200 mM95.56 abc342.00 abcdef7750 mM87.78 abcdef241.67 bcdef29.34 cdeMT50 mM56.67 defghij253.00 bcdef26.02 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz215.67 bcdef36.94 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvwxyz215.67 bcdef36.94 cdeMT200 mM7.78 xxyz185.00 bcdef45.71 bcdMS200 mM7.78 xxyz185.00 bcdef50.01 ftT200 mM100.00 a267.33 bcdef6.20 fT50 mM100.00 a267.33 bcdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxxyz168.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMTKEB-CP060100 mM72.22 abcdefgh184.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMT150 mM25.55 opqrstuvw137.67cdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS0 mM88.89 abcde330.00 abcdefT50 mM94.44 abcd293.33 bcdef11.11 cdfTKEB-CP009100 mM73.33 abcdefg227.67 bcdef31.01 cdeMT		0 mM	92.22 abcde	573.33 abcdef		
KEB-CP045100 mM23.33 qrstuvxy437.67 abcdef23.62 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvxyz393.66 abcdef31.30 cdeMT200 mM15.56 vwxyz334.00 abcdef41.71 bcdMS0 mM95.56 abc342.00 abcdef29.34 cdeMT50 mM87.78 abcdef241.67 bcdef29.34 cdeMT150 mM56.67 defghij253.00 bcdef26.02 cdeMT150 mM16.67 uvxyz215.67 bcdef36.94 cdeMT200 mM7.78 xxyz185.00 bcdef45.71 bcdMS0 mM100.00 a285.00 bcdef50 mT200 mM100.00 a267.33 bcdef6.20 fT50 mM100.00 a267.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMTKEB-CP060100 mM72.22 abcdefgh184.33 bcdef35.12 cdeMT150 mM25.55 opqrstuvw137.67cdef51.69 abMS200 mM15.56 vwxxyz168.33 bcdef40.94 bcdMS200 mM94.44 abcd293.33 bcdef11.11 edfTKEB-CP009100 mM73.33 abcdefg227.67 bcdef31.01 cdeMT		50 mM	76.67 abcdefgh	439.67 abcdef	23.27 cde	MT
150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 393.66 abcdef 31.30 cde MT 200 mM 15.56 vwxyz 334.00 abcdef 41.71 bcd MS 0 mM 95.56 abc 342.00 abcdef 41.71 bcd MS 50 mM 87.78 abcdef 241.67 bcdef 29.34 cde MT 100 mM 56.67 defghij 253.00 bcdef 26.02 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 215.67 bcdef 36.94 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 215.67 bcdef 36.94 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 215.67 bcdef 36.94 cde MT 200 mM 7.78 xxyz 185.00 bcdef 45.71 bcd MS 0 mM 100.00 a 285.00 bcdef 5.02 f T KEB-CP060 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33	KEB-CP045	100 mM	23.33 qrstuvwxy	437.67 abcdef	23.62 cde	MT
200 mM 15.56 vwxyz 334.00 abcdef 41.71 bcd MS 0 mM 95.56 abc 342.00 abcdef MT 50 mM 87.78 abcdef 241.67 bcdef 29.34 cde MT KEB-CP057 100 mM 56.67 defghij 253.00 bcdef 26.02 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 215.67 bcdef 36.94 cde MT 200 mM 7.78 xxyz 185.00 bcdef 45.71 bcd MS 0 mM 100.00 a 285.00 bcdef 50.01 ft T 50 mM 100.00 a 267.33 bcdef 6.20 ft T 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T 100 mM 94.44 abcd 293.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T 100 mM 94		150 mM	16.67 uvwxyz	393.66 abcdef	31.30 cde	MT
0 mM 95.56 abc 342.00 abcdef 50 mM 87.78 abcdef 241.67 bcdef 29.34 cde MT KEB-CP057 100 mM 56.67 defghij 253.00 bcdef 26.02 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 215.67 bcdef 36.94 cde MT 200 mM 7.78 xxyz 185.00 bcdef 45.71 bcd MS 0 mM 100.00 a 285.00 bcdef 50 mS MT 50 mM 100.00 a 267.33 bcdef 6.20 f T 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T 0 mM 88.89 abcde 330.00 abcdef MT MT 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		200 mM	15.56 vwxyz	334.00 abcdef	41.71 bcd	MS
KEB-CP057 50 mM 87.78 abcdef 241.67 bcdef 29.34 cde MT 100 mM 56.67 defghij 253.00 bcdef 26.02 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 215.67 bcdef 36.94 cde MT 200 mM 7.78 xxyz 185.00 bcdef 45.71 bcd MS 0 mM 100.00 a 285.00 bcdef 7 7 50 mM 100.00 a 285.00 bcdef 7 7 KEB-CP060 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 200 mM 88.89 abcde 330.00 abcdef MS MS 200 mM 94.44 abcd 293.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T 50 mM 94.44 abcd 293.33 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		0 mM	95.56 abc	342.00 abcdef		
KEB-CP057 100 mM 56.67 defghij 253.00 bcdef 26.02 cde MT 150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 215.67 bcdef 36.94 cde MT 200 mM 7.78 xxyz 185.00 bcdef 45.71 bcd MS 0 mM 100.00 a 285.00 bcdef 50 mK T 50 mM 100.00 a 267.33 bcdef 6.20 f T KEB-CP060 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T 0 mM 88.89 abcde 330.00 abcdef MT 50 mM 94.44 abcd 293.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T 50 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		50 mM	87.78 abcdef	241.67 bcdef	29.34 cde	MT
150 mM 16.67 uvwxyz 215.67 bcdef 36.94 cde MT 200 mM 7.78 xxyz 185.00 bcdef 45.71 bcd MS 0 mM 100.00 a 285.00 bcdef 50 mM 100.00 a 267.33 bcdef 6.20 f T KEB-CP060 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T KEB-CP009 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT	KEB-CP057	100 mM	56.67 defghij	253.00 bcdef	26.02 cde	MT
200 mM 7.78 xxyz 185.00 bcdef 45.71 bcd MS 0 mM 100.00 a 285.00 bcdef 7 50 mM 100.00 a 267.33 bcdef 6.20 f T KEB-CP060 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 0 mM 88.89 abcde 330.00 abcdef T T KEB-CP009 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		150 mM	16.67 uvwxyz	215.67 bcdef	36.94 cde	MT
0 mM 100.00 a 285.00 bcdef 50 mM 100.00 a 267.33 bcdef 6.20 f T KEB-CP060 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 0 mM 88.89 abcde 330.00 abcdef T 50 mM 94.44 abcd 293.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T KEB-CP009 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		200 mM	7.78 xxyz	185.00 bcdef	45.71 bcd	MS
KEB-CP060 50 mM 100.00 a 267.33 bcdef 6.20 f T KEB-CP060 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 0 mM 88.89 abcde 330.00 abcdef MS 50 mM 94.44 abcd 293.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T KEB-CP009 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		0 mM	100.00 a	285.00 bcdef		
KEB-CP060 100 mM 72.22 abcdefgh 184.33 bcdef 35.12 cde MT 150 mM 25.55 opqrstuvw 137.67cdef 51.69 ab MS 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 0 mM 88.89 abcde 330.00 abcdef T 50 mM 94.44 abcd 293.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T KEB-CP009 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		50 mM	100.00 a	267.33 bcdef	6.20 f	Т
International Internat	KEB-CP060	100 mM	72.22 abcdefgh	184.33 bcdef	35.12 cde	МТ
Line opploinin Line opploinin Line opploinin Line opploinin Line opploinin 200 mM 15.56 vwxxyz 168.33 bcdef 40.94 bcd MS 0 mM 88.89 abcde 330.00 abcdef 50 mM 94.44 abcd 293.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T KEB-CP009 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		150 mM	25.55 opgrstuvw	137.67cdef	51.69 ab	MS
0 mM 88.89 abcde 330.00 abcdef 50 mM 94.44 abcd 293.33 bcdef 11.11 edf T KEB-CP009 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		200 mM	15.56 vwxxvz	168.33 bcdef	40.94 bcd	MS
KEB-CP009 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		0 mM	88.89 abcde	330.00 abcdef		
KEB-CP009 100 mM 73.33 abcdefg 227.67 bcdef 31.01 cde MT		50 mM	94.44 abcd	293.33 bcdef	11.11 edf	т
	KEB-CP009	100 mM	73.33 abcdefg	227.67 bcdef	31.01 cde	MT
150 mM 20 00 stuywxy 197 00 bcdet 40 30 bcd MS		150 mM	20.00 stuvwxy	197.00 bcdef	40.30 bcd	MS
200 mM 5 55 z 185 00 bcdef 43 94 bcd		200 mM	5 55 z	185.00 bcdef	43 94 bcd	110
$0 \text{ mM} \qquad 82.22 \text{ abcdef} \qquad 324.33 \text{ abcdef}$		0 mM	82.22 abcdefgh	324 33 abcdef	15.71600	
50 mM 63 33 abcdefghii 325 33 abcdef -0.31 f T		50 mM	63 33 abcdefghii	325 33 abcdef	-0 31 f	т
KEB-CP-010 100 mM 33 33 nongrst 255 67 abcdef 21 17 cde MT	KEB-CP-010	100 mM	33 33 nonarst	255.67 abcdef	21 17 cde	MT
150 mM 11 11 wxyz 253 33 bedef 21 89 cde MT	KED CI VIV	150 mM	11 11 wxvz	253.33 bcdef	21.17 ede	MT
200 mM $5.55 z$ $202.66 bcdef$ $36.39 bcd$ MT		200 mM	5 55 z	202.66 bcdef	36 39 bcd	MT
$0 \text{ mM} \qquad 58.67 \text{ bcdef} \qquad 602.67 \text{ abcdef}$		0 mM	58.67 bcdefg	602.67 abcdef	50.57 bea	1411
50 mM $46.67 ghijk$ $529.00 abcdef$ $12.22 edf$ T		50 mM	46 67 ghiiklm	529.00 abcdef	12 22 edf	т
KEB-CP051 100 mM 42 67 iiklmnon 493 67 abcdef 18 09 cde T	KEB-CP051	100 mM	42 67 jiklmnon	493.67 abcdef	12.22 cdr	т
150 mM 25 33 operative 483 00 abcdef 10.00 cde T	KED -CI 071	150 mM	25.33 operatum	483.00 abcdef	10.07 cdc	т
200 mM 5 33 z 377 00 abcdef 37 45 bcd MT		200 mM	5 33 z	377.00 abcdef	37.45 bcd	MT
0 mM 100 a 564.67 abcdef		0 mM	100 a	564.67 abcdef	37. 4 3.600	141.1
$50 \text{ mM} \qquad 96.67 \text{ ab} \qquad 567.00 \text{ abcdef} \qquad 0.41 \text{ f} \qquad T$		50 mM	100 a 06 67 ab	567.00 abcdef	0.41 f	т
KFB-CP054 100 mM 45 56 ghijlm 548 00 abc 2 02 f T	KFB_CD054	100 mM	45 56 ghiiklm	548.00 abc	-0.411 2.02 f	т
KLD-CF034 100 milli 43.50 gm/smill 540.00 abc 2.921 1 150 mM 30.00 operatu 371.33 abedef 34.34 ede MT	KLD-CF0J4	150 mM	40.00 gnijkili	371 33 abadef	2.921	1 MT
200 mM 17.78 www.		200 mM	17 78 uuuuuu	512.00 abodef	14.75 had	MS
$0 \text{ mM} \qquad 06.67 \text{ ab} \qquad 609.00 \text{ abcdef} \qquad \text{MS}$		0 mM	17.70 uvwxy2	608 00 abcdet	, / J DCu	1/13
0 IIIVI 90.07 ab 000.00 abcuci		50 mM	90.07 ab	518 67 abodat	1460 1-4	Ţ
JUILINI 05.55 abcucitg 516.07 abcucit 14.09 uct 1 NO1036 100 mM 60.00 hadefabiii 570.67 abcdef 4.66 af T	NO1026		60.00 hadafahii	570.67 abcdef	14.09 UCI	1 T
100 mW 40.00 illumons 571.66 abadef 5.00 ef T	1101030	150 ~ 1	40.00 ildmn and	571 66 abodat	5.00 cl	1 T
200 mM 35 44 mnonarst 520 33 abedef 12 04 def T		200 mM	35 44 mnongret	529 33 abcdet	12.90 CI	Т

Genotype	[NaCl] concentration	Germination percentage (%)	DMP mg/Plant	DMP loss to Control (%)	Salinity tolerance
	0 mM	96.67 ab	259.00 bcdef		
	$50\mathrm{mM}$	85.56 abcdef	250.00 bcdef	3.47 f	Т
NO74	$100 \mathrm{mM}$	38.89 jklmnopqrst	223.66 bcdef	13.64 def	Т
	$150\mathrm{mM}$	8.89 wxyz	205.33 bcdef	20.72 cde	MT
	200 mM	6.67 yz	127.33 cdef	50.84 bc	MS
	0 mM	100.00 a	471.33 abcdef		
	50 mM	95.56 abc	435.33 abcdef	7.64 ef	Т
KEB-CP118	100 mM	37.77 klmnopqrst	377.16 abcdef	19.98 cde	Т
	150 mM	26.67 opqrstuv	328.33 abcdef	29.34 cde	MT
	200 mM	4.44 z	271.34 bcdef	40.43 bcd	MS
	0 mM	75.56 abcdefgh	347.66 abcdef		
	50 mM	78.89 abcdefgh	321.00 abcdef	7.67 ef	Т
KEB-CP039	100 mM	71.11 abcdefgh	254.00 bcdef	26.94 cde	MT
	150 mM	50.00 fghijklm	205.66 bcdef	40.84 bcd	MS
	200 mM	30.00 opgrstuvw	191.66 bcdef	44.87 bcd	MS
	0 mM	98.89 a	474.00 abcdef		
	50 mM	85.56 abcdef	442.66 abcdef	6.61 ef	Т
KEB-CP004	100 mM	37.78 klmno	419.33 abcdef	11.53 def	Т
	150 mM	30.00 opgrst	453.33 abcdef	4.36 f	Т
	200 mM	24.44 pgrst	309.00 abcdef	34.81 cde	MT
	0 mM	97.33 ab	602.67 abcdef		
	50 mM	92.00 abcde	569.00 abcdef	5.59 ef	Т
KEB-CP020	100 mM	33.33 nopgrst	556.66 abcdef	7.63 ef	Т
	150 mM	4.00 z	421.66 abcdef	26.71 cde	MT
	200 mM	5.33 z	309.33 abcdef	48.67 bcd	MS
	0 mM	94.44 abcd	624.00 ab		
	50 mM	81.11 abcdef	615.67 abcde	1.33 f	Т
KEB-CP006	100 mM	36.67 lmnopgrst	506.33 abcde	18.86 cde	Т
	150 mM	21.11 rstuvwxxy	466.00 abcdef	25.32 cde	MT
	200 mM	3.33 z	473.66 abcdef	24.09 cde	MT
	0 mM	98.89 a	838.33 a		
	50 mM	96.67ab	637.00 abcd	24.02 cde	MT
KEBCP067	100 mM	30.00 opgrstuvw	531.00 abcdef	36.66 cde	MT
	150 mM	14.44 vwxxyz	534.00 abcdef	36.30 cde	MT
	200 mM	12.22 vwxvz	387.00 abcdef	53.84 ab	MS
	0 mM	62.50 abcdefghijklm	324.00 abcdef		
	50 mM	40.00 jklmnopgrst	222.66 bcdef	31.27 cde	MT
KEB-CP068	100 mM	19.67 tuvwxvz	231.00 bcdef	28.30 cde	MT
	150 mM	14.17 vwxxvz	94.66 ef	70.75 a	S
	200 mM	6.67 z	91.33 ef	71.81 a	S
	0 mM	98.89 a	521.33 abcdef		-
	50 mM	75.56 abcdeføh	516.66 abcdef	0.89 f	Т
KEB-CP 038	100 mM	36.66 Imnopast	464.66 abcdef	10.87 ef	Т
	150 mM	זאיענן 17.77 איזענן 17.77	457.00 abcdef	12.34 def	Т
	200 mM	5.56 z	348.00 abcdef	33.25 cde	MT

Genotype	[NaCl] concentration	Germination percentage (%)	DMP mg/Plant	DMP loss to Control (%)	Salinity tolerance
	0 mM	73.33 abcdefghij	575.33 abcdef		
	$50\mathrm{mM}$	57.78 cdefghijklm	521.34 abcdef	9.39 ef	Т
KEB-CP033	$100 \mathrm{mM}$	28.89 opqrstuvw	528.00 abcdef	8.23 ef	Т
	$150\mathrm{mM}$	21.21 rstuvwxxy	313.00 abcdef	45.60 bc	MS
	$200\mathrm{mM}$	15.55 vwxyz	328.00 abcdef	42.89 bc	MS
	$0 \mathrm{mM}$	88.89 abcde	449.00 abcdef		
	50 mM	58.89 bcdefgh	446.00 abcdef	$0.67\mathrm{f}$	Т
MTA22	100 mM	44.44 hijklmnop	369.66 abcdef	17.67 cde	Т
	$150\mathrm{mM}$	32.22 opqrstuv	272.33 bcdef	39.35 cd	MT
	200 mM	12.22 qrstuvwx	302.66 abcdef	32.59 cde	MT

Within each column, different letters indicate significant differences

(Tukey multiple range test at p = 0.050 probability level)

Table 6. Relationship between germination variables under salinity determined by Pearson correlation coefficients

Variables	GP	MGT	CVG	GRI
GP	1			
MGT	-0.578***	1		
CVG	0.436***	-0.893***	1	
GRI	0.913***	-0.715***	0.656***	1

Level of significance: ***p < 0.001, GP = Germination percentage; MGT = Mean germination time; GRI = Germination rate index; CVG = Coefficient of velocity of germination

KEB-CP038 and KEB-CP051, demonstrated best tolerance to salinity, whereas KEB-CP068 and ET11 were the most sensitive genotypes to salt stress.

was positively associated with ten other early growth characteristics (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

Pearson correlation coefficients were determined for each pair of the four germination traits studied (Table 6) and for any couple of the thirteen growth characteristics considered (Table 7). Significant correlations were found between any pair of the germination traits (Table 6). Seventy-eight Pearson correlation coefficients were determined for all pair of the thirteen growth characteristics used for the study. Fifty among the seventy-eight correlations estimated (64.10%) were shown to be significantly positive; fifteen out of seventy-eight (19.23%) were significantly negative and the remaining 13 associations had no significant relationships (Table 7). Shoot fresh weight and the number of functional leaves each was significantly associated with the remaining twelve other growth characteristics. Growth was positively associated with nine other traits and negatively correlated with root length/seedling length ratio. The dry matter production was positively associated with nine other early traits and negatively associated with root dry weight/shoot dry weight ratio and root length/seedling length ratio. The number of functional leaves was positively associated with ten other growth traits and negatively associated with root dry weight/shoot dry weight ratio and root length/seedling length ratio. The shoot water content

In plant breeding, identifying individual specificities among genotypes of a target plant speciesis essential for better utilisation of the genetic resources of that species. This study aimed to explore salt stress tolerance of twenty main cultivated Vigna unguiculata genotypes at the germination and early growth stages in order to identify promising genotypes for their better utilisation in agricultural zones affected by salinity. High soil salinity represents a major abiotic stress reducing crop productivity in cultivated regions. Seed germination and seedling establishment are known to be critical processes in a plant's life, especially in the presence of adverse environment factors like salinity (Bohnert et al., 1995). Salt stress is known to cause nutrient imbalances and change of the level of growth regulators in plants. Salt therefore inhibits seed germination, plant's shoots and root growth with the direct result being yield loss in cultivated crops (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013).

According to the literature, the mechanism of inhibition of seed germination by NaCl is likely to be vastly connected to the insufficiency of water absorption by seed due to salt, or attributed to toxic effects of salt on the embryo (Azza-Mazher et al., 2007). During the study, we found that salinity reduced and

		KUW	SFW	SDW	RL	SL	NFL	RL/SL	RDW/SDW	Growth rate	DMP	RWC	SWC
RFW	1												
RDW 0.68	86***	1											
SFW 0.6 ¹	29***	0.519***	1										
SDW 0.59	93***	0.565***	0.805***	1									
RL 0.3(***89	0.409***	0.256***	0.329***	1								
SL 0.4	39***	0.368***	0.496***	0.457***	0.256***	1							
NFL 0.29	93***	0.146*	0.473***	0.378***	0.157**	0.343***	1						
RL/SL -0.2	,18***	-0.110 ^{NS}	-0.299***	-0.252***	0.396***	-0.613***	-0.288***	1					
RDW/SDW -0.(sn620	0.103 ^{NS}	-0.269***	-0.408***	-0.081 ^{NS}	-0.123*	-0.116*	0.063 ^{NS}	1				
Growth 0.4	17***	0.354***	0.484***	0.440***	0.272***	0.782***	0.321***	-0.509***	-0.094 ^{NS}	1			
DMP 0.6 ¹	53***	0.690***	0.807***	0.987***	0.368***	0.472***	0.360***	-0.243***	-0.338***	0.455***	1		
RWC 0.32	23*** -	-0.358***	0.208***	0.079 ^{NS}	-0.061 ^{NS}	0.084 ^{NS}	0.187**	-0.146*	-0.297***	0.071 ^{NS}	0.001 ^{NS}	1	
SWC 0.3	35***	0.196**	0.483***	0.152**	0.218***	0.184**	0.330***	-0.043 ^{NS}	-0.011 ^{NS}	0.161**	0.172**	0.261***	1

postponed the germination in cowpea, which is a long-standing view on seed germination under saline condition as reported previous studies in cowpea (Thiam et al., 2013; Islam et al., 2019) and related annual self-pollinated plants species like common bean (Kouam et al., 2017b) and rice (Hakim et al., 2010). Similar observations have been made on maize (Aliu et al., 2015). The general trend observed is that seeds germinated more slowly as salinity increases and could not even germinate at higher concentrations of salt. Like germination percentage that was reduced because of salinity, the experiment also revealed that salt stress induced lower germination rate index and coefficient of velocity of germination. Salt stress, however, increased mean germination time of the seeds of the studied genotypes. Salinity showed a significant variability in germination performance between the different cultivars tested. Most cultivars germinate at no (0 mM) or low salt concentrations of NaCl (50 mM). However, medium and high concentrations of NaCl (100, 150, and 200 mM) resulted in a significant reduction in the rate of seed germination for the tested cultivars. This tendency corroborates with previous studies on cowpea by Thiam et al. (2013) and in common bean by Kouam et al. (2017b). Gradual inhibition of germination as salinity increased likely resulted from seed hydration difficulties. This is due to high osmotic potential, with much more time required for seeds to implement mechanisms for adjusting their internal osmotic pressure because of elevated osmotic potential induced by salt that obstruct the emergence of the radicle off as concluded by Gill et al. (2003). At a maximum salt concentration of 200 mM NaCl, eight out of the twenty cowpea genotypes tested had no more than 5% of seeds germinated. This result indicates that the germination of some genotypes is completely inhibited under high salinity environments. Similar results were reported in Common bean (Kouam et al., 2017b). The present results showed that the higher the NaCl concentration, the lower the germination rate index of cowpea genotypes (Table 3, Table 4). This decrease in ability of cowpea seed to germinate under salt stress conditions can be attributed to a reversible osmotic effect inducing seed dormancy as highlighted by Mehrun et al. (2007). Mean germination time of cowpea seeds was considerably affected by salinity. Significantly higher number of days was required for germination of seed treated with 200 mM NaCl (Table 3, Table 4). Salt

stress will limit uptake of water during germination and cause delays in seed germination (Kaydan and Yagmur, 2008). This delay in seed germination or prolongation of germination time of the studied seeds due to salinity was previously reported in common bean (Cokkizgin, 2012; Kouam et al., 2017b) and in cowpea (Islam et al., 2019).

Salinity appears to be a major threat to modern agriculture causing inhibition and impairment of crop growth and development (Isayenkov and Maathuis, 2019). Many studies revealed that reduction of plant growth due to salinity differs between plant species and even between cultivars within a same species (Negrão et al., 2016). These divergences observed are a likely link to the variability of salt tolerance among plant species or cultivar germplasms as shown by Ghoulam et al. (2002) and Kouam et al. (2017b). Like seed germination test, the effects of salinity were clearly manifested during the further seedling growth trial in the present study. This impact of salinity on growth was visible in terms of reduction of height, weight and number of functional leaves of plants and plant parts. According to Khalid et al. (2015), salinity is known to cause defection of metabolism in plants such as membrane permeability and, therefore expected to inhibit growth and plant development. This impairment of growth is demonstrated to be because of nutrient imbalances and dysfunction of growth regulators as reported by Fageria et al. (2011). Our results have shown in general that salt has negative effect on growth of cowpea seedlings. Significant disparities in growth characteristics were detected among the numerous genotypes tested. As well, substantial differences of growth behaviours were observed using the various concentrations of sodium chloride tested. Statistical analysis showed that 100 mM [NaCl] significantly slowed a decrease of growth and dry matter production in cowpea genotypes. Several studies similarly reported a significant reduction of dry matter production, growth of roots and shoots for both seedling and adult plants under salt stress (Murillo-Amador et al., 2000; Kouam et al., 2017b). This effect of biomass or dry matter production loss under salt stress was evident in cowpea as it is reported to be a classic response of plants during salinity. In agreement with this finding, biomass loss had been used for the evaluation of the kinetics of the dry matter amount in green bean under sodium chloride stress (Pessarakli, 1991). Salt intake induces a significant reduction in shoot weight of cowpea genotypes compared to controls. This reduction widens as salinity increases with maximum reduction at high salt concentration of 200 mM NaCl. Sánchez-Blanco et al. (1991) reported similar results,

demonstrating that decrease in shoot weight of tomato genotypes occurs consecutively to salt stress. Still in agreement with our results, other studies on cowpea testified significant biomass reduction as soil salinity increases (Thiam et al., 2013). Similar depression effects of salt stress in plant biomass were reported in other related self-pollinated crops like common bean (Kouam et al., 2017b), soybean (Amirjani, 2010) wheat (Hamam and Negim, 2014) and rice (Hakim et al., 2010). This depressive effect of salinity mainly occurs in shoots compared to rootsof seedling as also reported by Thiam et al. (2013) on cowpea cultivars.

The twenty genotypes showed considerable variation in salinity tolerance at the germination level at any of low, medium or high salt concentration. A tmaximum concentration of salt (200 mM NaCl), four genotypes NO1036, KEB-CP004, KEB-CP038 and KEB-CP051) expressed considerable germination percentage ranging from 25 to 35%. In seedling growth trial, the results reveal that salinity treatment of 200 mM NaCl strongly predispose to loss of dry matter production of cowpea seedling. The rate of loss differed, however, between the twenty genotypes and at the different level of salinity. These differences indicate variation in the level of salinity tolerance in the studied genotypes as also reported studies using twelve variety of rice (Hakim et al., 2010) and eight genotypes of common beans (Kouam et al., 2017b). Four genotypes demonstrated important salinity tolerance at the low, medium and high stress level and are NO1036, KEB-CP004, KEB-CP038 and KEB-CP051. These genotypes demonstrate to be least affected by salinity. They may be potential sources of gene for salt stress tolerance and are identified as important in plant breeding as demonstrated in common bean by Kouam et al. (2017b) and in tomato by Singh et al. (2012). Correlation analysis generates the understanding of the role of shoot fresh weight, number of functional leaves and the dry matter production. These three growth characteristics appear to be key determinants needed to improve cowpea performance under salinity environments as each correlated significantly with all other growth variables.

CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrated considerable differences among the germination traits and seedling growth characteristics of *Vigna unguiculata* to salinity exposure. Germination in cowpea was significantly delayed with increasing salinity. Growth characteristics were considerably reduced by salinity. The twenty genotypes showed different ranges of tolerance

to salinity. Combining germination and seedling growth results, cowpea genotypes, namely NO1036, KEB-CP004, KEB-CP038 and KEB-CP051 were the most tolerant whereas ET11 and KEB-CP068 were the most sensitive ones. These tolerant genotypes can be used as sources of gene of tolerance to salinity in cowpea breeding programs and should be encouraged for culture agricultural lands affected by salinity.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

Eric Bertrand Kouam collected the plant material, conceived the study, analysed data, interpreted results and wrote the paper

Toscani Ngompe-Deffo performed lab assay and greenhouse trial.

Marie Solange Mandou, Asafor Henry Chotangui, Honoré Beyegue-Djonko, Souleymanou Adamou and Christopher Mubeteneh Tankou reviewed the manuscript prior to submission and provided substantial valuable comments on the interpretation and presentation of results.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared no conflicts of interest with respect to research, authorship and publication of this article.

ETHICAL COMPLIANCE

The authors have followed the ethical standards in conducting the research and preparing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Aliu S., Rusinovci I., Fetahu S., Gashi B., Simeonovska E., Rozman L. (2015): The effect of salt stress on the germination of maize (*Zea mays* L.) seeds and photosynthetic pigments. Acta agriculturae Slovenica 105: 85–94. doi: 10.14720/aas.2015.105.1.09.
- Almansouri M., Kinet J., Lutts S. (2001): Effect of salt and osmotic stresses on germination in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.). Plant and Soil 231: 243–254. doi: 10.1023/A: 1010378409663.
- Amirjani M. R. (2010): Effect of Salinity Stress on Growth, Mineral Composition, Proline Content, Antioxidant Enzymes of Soybean. American Journal of Plant Physiology 5: 350–360. doi: 10.3923/ ajpp.2010.350.360.
- Askari H., Kazemitabar S. K., Zarrini H. N., Saberi M. H. (2016): Salt tolerance assessment of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes at germination stage by tolerance indices. Open Agriculture 1: 37–44. doi: 10.1515/opag-2016-0005.

- Association of Official Seed Analysts (AOSA)(1983): Seed Vigor Hand Testing Book, Contribution No. 32 to the Handbook on Seed testing, Association of Official Seed Analysts, Springfield, USA, 122–128.
- Azza-Mazher A. M., Fatma El-Quesni E. M., Farahat M. M. (2007): Responses of ornamental plants and woody trees to salinity. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 3: 386–395.
- Bidima I. M. (2012): Cowpea Bean: White Gold from the Sahel. The farmer's voice, Monthly Rural Entrepreneur. [In French].
- Bohnert H. J., Nelson D. E., Jensen R. G. (1995): Adaptations to environmental stresses. The Plant Cell 7: 1099–1111. doi: 10.1105/tpc.7.7.1099.
- Cokkizgin A. (2012): Salinity Stress in Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) Seed Germination. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanic Cluj-Napoca 40: 177–182. doi: 10.15835/nbha4017493.
- Cokkizgin A., Cokkizgin H. (2010): Effects of lead (PbCl₂) stress on germination of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medic.) lines. African Journal of Biotechnology 9: 8608–8612. doi: 10.5897/AJB10.890.
- Dugje I. Y., Omoigui L. O., Ekeleme F., Kamara A. Y., Ajeigbe H. (2009): Cowpea production in West Africa: Farmer's guide. Ibadan, Nigeria: IITA, p. 20. [In French].
- Fageria N. K. (1985): Salt tolerance of rice cultivars. Plant and Soil 88: 237–243.
- Fageria N. K., Gheyi H. R., Moreira A. (2011): Nutrient bioavailability in salt affected soils. Journal of Plant Nutrition 34: 945–962. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2011.555578.
- Fatokun C., Girma G., Abberton M., Gedil M., Unachukwu N., Oyatomi O., Yusuf M., Rabbi I., Boukar O. (2018): Genetic diversity and population structure of a mini-core subset from the world cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) germplasm collection. Scientific Reports 8: Article ID 16035, 7 p. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-34555-9.
- Fisher R. A., Turner N. C. (1978): Plant productivity, in arid and semi-arid zones. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 29: 897–912.
- Ghoulam C., Foursy A., Fares K. (2002): Effects of salt stress on growth, inorganic ions and proline accumulation in relation to osmotic adjustment in five sugar beet cultivars. Environmental and Experimental Botany 47: 39–50. doi: 10.1016/ s0098-8472(01)00109-5.
- Gill P. K., Sharma A. D., Singh P., Bhullar S. S. (2003): Changes in germination, growth and soluble sugar contents of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench seeds under

various abiotic stresses. Plant Growth Regulation 40: 157–162.

- Hakim M. A., Juraimi A. S., Begum M., Hanafi M. M., Ismail M. R., Selamat A. (2010): Effect of salt stress on germination and early seedling growth of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). African Journal of Biotechnology 9: 1911–1918. doi: 10.5897/AJB09.1526.
- Hamam K. A., Negim O. (2014): Evaluation of wheat genotypes and some soil properties under saline water irrigation. Annals of Agricultural Sciences59: 165–176. doi: 10.1016/j.aoas.2014.11.002.
- Hamid S., Muzaffar S., Wani I. A., Masoodi F. A., Bhat M.
 M. (2016): Physical and cooking characteristics of two cowpea cultivars grown in temperate Indian climate.
 Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences 15: 127–134. doi: 10.1016/j.jssas.2014.08.002.
- Hasanuzzaman M., Nahar K., Alam M., Roychowdhury R., Fujita M. (2013): Physiological, Biochemical, and Molecular Mechanisms of Heat Stress Tolerance in Plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 14: 9643–9684. doi: 10.3390/ijms14059643.
- Hu J., Zhu Z. Y., Song W. J., Wang J. C., Hu W. M. (2005): Effects of sand priming on germination and field performance in direct-sown rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Seed Science and Technology 33: 243–248. doi: 10.15258/sst.2005.33.1.25.
- Isayenkov S. V., Maathuis F. J. M. (2019): Plant Salinity Stress: Many Unanswered Questions Remain. Frontiers in Plant Science 10, Article 80. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2019.00080.
- Islam M. M., Haque M. S., Sarwar A. G. (2019): Salt tolerance of cowpea genotypes during seed germination and seedling growth. Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University 17: 39–44. doi: 10.3329/jbau.v17i1.40661.
- Kaydan D., Yagmur M. (2008): Germination, seedling growth and relative water content of shoot in different seed sizes of triticale under osmotic stress of water and NaCl. African Journal of Biotechnology 7: 2862–2868.
- Kebede E., Bekeko Z. (2020): Expounding the production and importance of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) in Ethiopia. Cogent Food & Agriculture 6: 1769805. 21 p. doi: 10.1080/23311932.2020.1769805.
- Khalid H., Kumari M., Grover A., Nasim M. (2015): Salinity Stress Tolerance of Camelina Investigated In Vitro. Scientia Agriculturae Bohemica 46: 137–144. doi: 10.1515/sab-2015-0028.
- Kouam E. B., Tsague E. L. Mandou M. S. (2017a): Effects of Salinity Stress (NaCl) on Growth Attributes and Some Nutrient Accumulation in Cowpea

(*Vigna unguiculata*). Current Botany 8: 164–170. doi: 10.19071/cb.2017.v8.3282.

- Kouam E. B., Ndo S. M., Mandou M. S., Chotangui A. H., Tankou C. M. (2017b): Genotypic variation in tolerance to salinity of common beans cultivated in Western Cameroon as assessed at germination and during early seedling growth. Open Agriculture 2: 600–610. doi: 10.1515/opag-2017-0064.
- Maguire J. D. (1962): Speed of germination-aid in selection and evaluation for seedling emergence and vigor. Crop Science 2: 176–177. doi: 10.2135/ cropsci1962.0011183X000200020033x.
- Mehrun N., Khan M. A., Weber D. J. (2007): Dormancy, germination and viability of *Salsola imbricata* seeds in relation to light, temperature and salinity. Seed Science and Technology 35: 595–606. doi: 10.15258/sst.2007.35.3.07.
- Murillo-Amador B., Troyo-Diéguez E., Jones H. G., Ayala-Chairez F., Tinoco-Ojanguren C. L., López-Cortés A. (2000): Screening and classification of cowpea genotypes for salt tolerance during germination. Phyton 67: 71–84.
- National Research Counci l(1993): Soil and Water Quality: An Agenda for Agriculture. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. 519 p. doi: 10.17226/2132.
- Negrão S., Schmöckel S. M., Tester M. (2016): Evaluating physiological responses of plants to salinity stress. Annals of Botany119: 1–11. doi: 10.1093/aob/ mcw191.
- Pessarakli M. (1991): Dry matter yield, nitrogen-15 absorption, and water uptake by green bean under sodium chloride stress. Crop Science 31: 1633–1640. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1991.0011183X003100060051x.
- Rengasamy P. (2010): Soil processes affecting crop production in salt-affected soils. Functional Plant Biology 37: 613–620. doi: 10.1071/FP09249.
- Ruprecht J., Dogramaci S. (2005): Salinization. Encyclopedia of Hydrological Sciences, 19 p. doi: 10.1002/0470848944.hsa102.
- Sánchez-Blanco M. J., Bolarín M., Alarcón J. J., Torrecilas A. (1991): Salinity effect on water relations in *Lycopersicon esculentum* and its wild salt-tolerant relative species *L. pennelli*. Physiologia Plantarum 83: 269–274. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1991.tb02152.x.
- Sayar R., Bchini H., Mosbahi M., Khemira H. (2010): Response of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) growth to salt and drought stresses. Czech Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding 46: 54–63. doi: 10.17221/85/2009-CJGPB.

- Shanon M. C. (1986): New insights in plant breeding efforts for improved salt tolerance. Hort Technology 6:96–99.doi: 10.21273/HORTTECH.6.2.96A.
- Shrivastava P., Kumar R. (2015): Soil salinity: A serious environmental issue and plant growth promoting bacteria as one of the tools for its alleviation. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 22: 123–131. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2014.12.001
- Singh J., Sastry E. V. D., Singh V. (2012): Effect of salinity on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) during seed germination stage. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants 18: 45–50. doi: 10.1007/s12298-011-0097-z.
- Tavakkoli E., Rengasamy P., McDonald G. K. (2010): High concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions in soil solution have simultaneous detrimental effects on growth of faba bean under salinity stress. Journal of

Experimental Botany 61: 4449-4459. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq251.

- Thiam M., Champion A., Diouf D., Sy M. O. (2013): NaCl effects on in vitro germination and growth of some senegalese cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) cultivars. ISRN Biotechnology 2013, Article ID 382417, 11 p. doi: 10.5402/2013/382417.
- Torche Y., Blair M., Saida C. (2018): Biochemical, physiological and phenological genetic analysis in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under salt stress. Annals of Agricultural Sciences 63: 153–161. doi: 10.1016/j.aoas.2018.10.002.

Received: June 20, 2020 Accepted after revisions: April 15, 2021