**Manuscript layout**

**Original Article** should not exceed 20 000 characters with spaces including tables, references, and figure captions. Review article extent is not limited. MS Word editor should be used for creating the text (Times New Roman, 12, lines 1.5, 2.5 cm margins). Write the text in non-formatted style. Manuscript lines should be numbered in the left-hand margin.

**For Original Research Articles**, the manuscript should follow the IMRAD structure, i.e. consist of the following sections: Title page, Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, Acknowledgements, Conflict of interest statement, References, Tables, and Figures with legends.

Title page should contain an informative title, complete name(s) of all author(s), the name(s) and their affiliations, address, and e-mail of the corresponding author. The title should be concise and informative, preferably up to 15 words.

Abstract is an abbreviated, accurate presentation of the contents of the paper. It should be structured (i.e. present the background and aim of the study, the materials and approaches used, the main results, their importance for science and or practice), and it should not exceed 250 words. The abstract of a review paper is an indicative one (up to 250 words) or 150 words for short communications. No references are to be cited in the abstract. It should be written in full sentences and comprise basic numerical data including statistical significances. Abbreviations are not used, if they are used, they should be explained properly.

Keywords should be ranked from general to specific terms and written in lower case letters and separated by semicolons. A list of up to10 key words should be supplied in alphabetical order, suitable for indexing, not repeating words from the title and abstract.

The main text should have the following headings:

Introduction consists of a brief review of the literature, consisting of preferably refereed journals and books, and state the objectives of the authors. Citations of grey literature (e.g. reports, conference proceedings, non-refereed internet miscellaneous materials etc.) should be limited to a minimum. Based on cited literature, the hypothesis and aims of the study should be presented in the end of introductory part.

Materials and Methods: all materials, experiments conducted, their extent, conditions, and course should be described in detail in this section. The description of experimental plants, animals, and procedures should be sufficient to allow replication. All original procedures that were used for the processing of experimental material and all analytical methods used for evaluation should also be detailed. Only original method should be described in full, in other cases, it is sufficient to cite the author of the method employed and to explain its modifications. Methods of statistical analyses including the software used should also be given. For reliable evaluation of manuscripts in agricultural economics raw data should always be provided by authors, e.g. in the form of excel sheets.

In case of animal studies, the breed, age, sex, numbers of animals, health state and feeding regimen should be given along with the statement of the institutional Ethics Committee. Animals must be treated in consent with animal care and use regulations of the respective country and any unnecessary suffering, and pain must be prevented. Methods of anaesthesia or euthanasia must be reported. Good laboratory practices and ethical standards must be observed; see <http://www.who.int/tdr/publications/laboratory_practice/en/>

Abbreviations can be used if necessary; first use of an abbreviation should be just after its complete name or description. The International System of Units (SI) and their abbreviations should be used, see <http://www.bipm.org/>

Use the minus index (m, l, h, ha) except in such cases as "per plant", "per head" etc. Standard chemical symbols and abbreviations for chemical names may be used if they are widely accepted. The complete scientific names (genus, species, breed, cultivar, etc.) must be cited for every organism on first mention and written in italics.

Results should be presented clearly and concisely. The results obtained in the experiments, including their statistical evaluation should be presented graphically or in tables in this section, and explained/commented without repeating numbers from tables or graphs. Tables, figures, and graphs should be used where it is necessary with statistically significant differences indicated by symbols. Recommended are levels of significance p < 0.05, pp < 0.001.

Tables and Figures: these should be enclosed separately. Each of them must be referred to in the text.

Tables should be formatted in MS Word (not acceptable as an image file). Each item must be placed into a separate cell. Tables are to be numbered with Arabic numerals in the order in which they belong in text and have a brief, self-explanatory title. Footnotes to tables should be indicated by superscript letters (or asterisks for significance values). Abbreviations or symbols used in the tables must be explained either in the table title or as a footnote. For explaining abbreviations or symbols used in tables, it is not possible to refer to the main text.

Figures should be restricted to material essential for documentation and understanding. Duplicate presentation of data both in tables and figures is not acceptable. All illustrative material must be of publishable quality. Both line drawings and photographs are referred to as figures. Each figure should be accompanied by a concise and descriptive legend. Electronic versions of your figures in optimal resolutions (300 dpi.) must be supplied, preferably in black and white format. Photos and diagrams should be submitted in TIFF or JPG format.

Graphs should be provided in MS Excel and should be stored with original data. Centred captions, parallel to the axes, are used to indicate the measured attributes and their dimensions (in brackets).

Tables should be on separate sheets following the text, figures, and graphs should be supplied as separate documents, preferably as JPG format and identified properly, e.g. Smith\_Fig.1.

**Discussion**

The authors should interpret their results, beginning with the most important ones, without unnecessary repetition. They should compare their results with data published by other authors, whose names and year of publication are to be cited by including them in the text directly, e.g.: as published by Payne (1987), Welsh and McClelland (2001); research by Jenkins and Davis (2001) supported...; Davis et al. (2012) argued…

Diacritical signs of national Latin-based alphabets should be preserved. Names in non-Latin alphabets should be transcribed according to international standards. The manuscript should be carefully checked to ensure that the spellings of authors' names and publication years are the same in the text as in the reference list. The citations should be limited to items really needed for placing the paper into a proper context. The discussion should also present author´s view of findings different from theirs, exploring the possible reasons for the differences.

Conclusion and recommendations for the future research and/or practice should be exact, based on author´s own presented results; generally known facts should be avoided.
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